

**From:** Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US  
**Sent:** 1/13/2012 7:23:13 AM  
**To:** carney.dennis@epa.gov  
**CC:** Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Subject:** Re: Fw: Dimock Saunter [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] well info

Dennis,

Also, as I believe I mentioned to you yesterday, the recently received Cabot validated data package seems to indicate that contamination, at least in the 3 subject homes, is not nearly as bad as shown in previous data. Today we will be reviewing the data and evaluating the differences.  
Rich

---

**From:** Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US  
**To:** carney.dennis@epa.gov  
**Cc:** Richard Rupert/ESC/R3/USEPA/US  
**Date:** 01/13/2012 07:10 AM  
**Subject:** Fw: Dimock Saunter [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] well info

Den,

I wanted to alert you to Lora's statement below regarding the arsenic in the [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] data. I have heard rumors, etc. that water being provided may have been worse than the water from the well (particularly from an smell, taste, odor perspective). It appears that we (ATSDR) believes that the arsenic is from provided water that may be from a lake or stream. Of course, this raises an issue with regard to being representative of the [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy]'s well, but it also demonstrates the issue that even though the residents may be getting "water" from somewhere, the safeguarding of their health may not be as diligent as it should be.

More to follow on the emerging data issue.

Rich

Richard M. Fetzer  
Federal On-Scene Coordinator  
100 Gypsum Road  
Stroudsburg, PA 18360  
(215) 341-6307

----- Forwarded by Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US on 01/13/2012 07:07 AM -----

**From:** Lora Werner/R3/USEPA/US  
**To:** Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US, Ann DiDonato/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Cc:** "Bob Helverson" <helverson.robert@epa.gov>  
**Date:** 01/13/2012 12:24 AM  
**Subject:** Fw: Dimock Saunter [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] well info

Please see the attached summary (Dimock resident key.doc) detailing the source data locations for the max results we have been talking about for these wells.

Thank you Ann for sending me the data files tonight- I owe you BIG.

An important issue to note - the max arsenic result for the [Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy] looks like it was from provided water. I think I remember Ann you and Bob telling me the provided water was local surface water?? This issue of the source/quality of the provided water need to be confirmed via your legal folks w/Cabot. I think this issue has raised its ugly head in past -

residents are being provided essentially water that they assume to be of public water quality but there may be no assurances there depending on where it is coming from.

L

Lora Siegmann Werner, ATSDR/CDC Region 3

----- Original Message -----

From: [redacted] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

Sent: 01/13/2012 12:12 AM EST

To: Lora Werner

Subject: Dimock Saunter [redacted] **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy** well info

[attachment "Dimock resident key.docx" deleted by Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US] [attachment

"sept\_1\_2011\_testing\_part\_I.pdf" deleted by Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US] [attachment

"sept\_1\_2011\_testing\_part\_II.pdf" deleted by Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US] [attachment

"Sautner\_\_Craig\_and\_Julie[1].pdf" deleted by Richard Fetzer/R3/USEPA/US]

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**